

MEMORANDUM FOR:

DDM + S

- ① Before signing off, can you tell me how this process relates to the ~~PDP~~ PDP process?
- ② Are they (the Board) or am I right on the Armed Forces Staff College? - Shall we discuss it at a ~~later~~ (DATE)

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101
1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

25X1A

(47)

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Chairman, Training Selection Board
1026 Chamber of Commerce Building

EXTENSION

3245

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Executive Registry
7 E 12 HQS

17 JUN 1973

SH

2. *MR Colby*

8/25

3. Director of Central Intelligence

4.

5.

6.

7. Chairman, Training Selection Board
1026 Chamber of Commerce

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Mr. Colby:

The Agency quota for the Advanced Management Program at Harvard is two per year (February and September). The Directorates submitted only two candidates and we selected [REDACTED] for the February 1974 session. We have gone back to the Directorates for additional nominations for the September 1974 session. Following our selection we will submit the candidate for your approval.


25X1A

25X1A

Alfonso Rodriguez

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0



15 AUG 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. William E. Colby

Before you consider the attached memorandum, I should like to invite your attention to the deliberations of the Training Selection Board concerning the reduced quotas for the Armed Forces Staff College. Last year, as you will recall, the quota of eight students per year was reduced to two (one for each of two sessions). The Board agreed unanimously that the AFSC is of much benefit to Agency participants as well as to the Agency itself. We feel it is a most useful, informative middle-management course to the Directorates. An appealing factor is that this course is only five months' long. It provides excellent long-range interface with the military. The officers who are selected to attend are still young enough to be considered later in their careers for the senior schools.

Although the Board recommends that you approve four candidates (and we have selected four) only the first one listed for each session will go if you do not approve our recommendation.

OK

13 SEP 1973

Alfonso Rodriguez

Chairman

Training Selection Board

25X1A

25X1A

Att: Memo dtd _____ for DCI fr
C/TSB, subj: Approval of Candidates
for Senior Officer Schools, 1974-75

Distribution:

- Orig - Adse w/att (for return to C/TSB)
- 1 - ER w/att
- 1 - DDI w/att
- 1 - DDM&S w/att
- 1 - DDO w/att
- 1 - DDS&T w/att
- 1 - CCS w/att
- 5 - OTR w/att (w/h)

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

CONFIDENTIAL

E2 IMPDET CL BY 008781

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

15 AUG 1973

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM : Chairman, Training Selection Board

SUBJECT : Approval of Candidates for Senior Officer Schools,
1974-75

1. Action Requested: Your approval of the selections listed on Attachment (1) is requested.

2. Basic Data or Background: The Training Selection Board met on 7 and 8 August 1973 to consider candidates nominated by the Directorates for attendance at the 1974-75 sessions of the senior military colleges, the Senior Seminar in Foreign Policy at the Department of State and the Advanced Management Program and Program for Management Development at Harvard. The Board carefully studied and evaluated the qualifications of the 51 candidates being nominated to fill 19 places in the schools. Carefully considered in our final decisions were the appropriateness of the proposed training and benefit to the Agency and the individual. In addition, we are processing the slate of candidates through the Special Activities Staff of the Office of Personnel. Attached for your information are a biographic profile and statement of nomination on each candidate.

3. Board Position: The Training Selection Board believes that the officers recommended for your approval will prove to be fine Agency representatives.

4. Recommendation: Your approval is recommended.

(SIGNED)

Alfonso Rodriguez
Chairman
Training Selection Board

Atts:

1. Slate of Candidates
2. Nomination Papers

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

E2 IMPDET CL BY 008781

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

-2-

Subject: Approval of Candidates for Senior Officer Schools,
1974-75

25X1A

APPROVED

DISAPPROVED

* 13 SEP 1973

Distribution:

Orig - Adse w/atts 1 and 2 (for return to C/TSB)

1 - ER w/att 1

1 - DDI w/att 1

1 - DDM&S w/att 1

1 - DDO w/att 1

1 - DDS&T w/att 1

1 - CCS w/att 1

5 - OTR w/att 1 (w/h)

4 OK for AFSC, provided
their careers will benefit
from the exposure to military
staff procedures + doctrine
(ie no automatic quotas).

25X1A

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt


Approved For Release 2000/06/13 : CIA-RDP78-04202A000200010002-0

Approval of Candidates for Senior Officer Schools, 1974-75

Executive Secretary
Training Selection Board 1036 CofC Bldg 2896 18 September 1973

TSB Members

Attached for your retention is a copy of the memorandum from the Chairman, Training Selection Board dated 15 August 1973, subject: Approval of Candidates for Senior Officer Schools, 1974-75 (together with a related exchange of correspondence) which was approved by the Director on 13 September.


25X1A